

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,827 08/29/2001		Ronald A. Weimer	MTI-31532	7551
31870 7	31870 7590 08/08/2005		EXAMINER	
WHYTE HIRSCHBOECK DUDEK S.C.			HUYNH, YENNHU B	
555 EAST WE	LLS STREET			
SUITE 1900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUKEE	E, WI 53202		2813	

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

58	
----	--

9,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/941,827	WEIMER, RONALD A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Yennhu B. Huynh	2813			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the pend for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO pend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Se	eptember 2003.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-62 and 78-149 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) □ Claim(s) is/are subject to respect to the specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. striction and/or election requirem	ent.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Applicant's response filed on 9/4/03.

Claims 63-77 have been cancelled by the Paper filed on 9/9/02.

Regarding of restriction reconsideration, the rejection of claims 2-6 from Office Action 11/17/03 is withdrawn.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 2-6 and 111-149 in Paper No. 11 is acknowledged. However, this is not found persuasive because 37 CFR 1.142 requires a restriction requirement when separate species are within a single application. The capacitor is classified in classes 438/239 ⁺ and the dielectric layer is classified in classes 438/765 ⁺, and the dielectric layer can be formed for any others semiconductor structure, which such as Interdielectric layer, Gate dielectric layer or interconnection layer, but not only to be formed for capacitor structure. It would be also burdensome for examiner to make separate searches in different subs classes to examine the additional species.

However, respectfully to Applicant request, the restriction reconsideration is as followed:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

a) Group I, drawn to a method of forming of dielectric layer. It appears that claims 1-47, 78-94 & 111-149 read on the species.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,827

Art Unit: 2813

b) Group II, drawn to a method of forming of capacitor. It appears that claims 48-62 & 95-110 read on the species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,827 Page 4

Art Unit: 2813

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yennhu B. Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-1692. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8.30AM-7.00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr., can be reached 571-272-1702. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

YNBH,

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

072605